	I and the second	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FERNANDO GASTELUM,) Case No.: 1:21-cv-01164-JLT-BAK (SAB)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CLOSING THE CASE
13	v.) (Doc. 12)
14	NORTHWEST TARGET LLC, et al.,)
15	Defendants.))
16		_)
17	The parties have stipulated to the action being dismissed with prejudice with each side to bear	
18	their own fees and costs. (Doc. 12). ¹ The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 41 makes such	
19	stipulations effective immediately with further order of the Court. Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111	
20	F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to close this action.	
21		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	SIR
23	Dated: January 18, 2022	July N. Lase
24		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25		
26		
27		
28	<u> </u>	

¹ On January 7, 2022, the plaintiff subsequently filed a response to the second order to show cause, indicating that the parties settled the case and will file closing documents (Doc. 14), therefore, the Court's order to show cause is DISCHARGED.